

Shri Daljit Singh, S/o Sh. Balwinder Singh,
Village Khehira, Tehsil Samrala,
Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Block Kohara,
Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 840 of 2021

Present:- Shri Daljit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat,
Block Kohara, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Daljit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 25.03.2021 before the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Ludhiana-2. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Block Kohara, Ludhiana vide letter dated 05.04.2021. When no information was provided to the him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 29.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the PIO. The complainant/applicant stated that he has filed RTI application on 25.03.2021 and a period of about seven months has been passed, but the concerned Panchayat Secretary is not providing him the sought for information. He further requested that the sought for information be provided to him.

The complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 25.03.2021 and a period of about seven months has been passed, but the sought for information has not been provided to him. He has suffered a lot. So, a compensation of Rs.2,000/- is awarded in favour of the applicant, which is to be paid to the applicant from the funds of Gram Panchayat, Block Kohara, Ludhiana by way of demand draft and compliance report be sent back to the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction to Shri Gurpreet Singh, BDPO, Ludhiana-2 that he should call the complainant-applicant within 15 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 28.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: BDPO, Ludhiana-2. – for compliance of the Order.



Shri Ravinder Singh,
S/o Sh. Babu Singh,
Village Kheri Barna,
PO Karhali Sahib,
Distt. Patiala.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Block Patiala,
Distt. Patiala.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 842 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ravinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Patiala, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 26.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Patiala, Distt. Patiala. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Block Patiala, which is a copy of letter no.504-05 dated 13.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the BDPO directed Shri Jaspreet Singh, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Kheribarna to provide the information to the applicant and to appear before the Commission for today. But today none has come present on behalf of the respondent.

Shri Ravinder Singh, complainant/applicant though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission, which was received on 01.10.2021 and diarized vide no.22335.

I have gone through the mail sent by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant has also admitted through his mail that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ravinder Singh,
S/o Sh. Babu Singh,
Village Kheri Barna,
PO Karhali Sahib,
Distt. Patiala.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Block Patiala,
Distt. Patiala.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 843 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ravinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Patiala, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 26.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Patiala, Distt. Patiala. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Block Patiala, which is a copy of letter no.501-02 dated 13.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the BDPO directed Shri Jaspreet Singh, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Kheribarna to provide the information to the applicant and to appear before the Commission for today. But today none has come present on behalf of the respondent.

Shri Ravinder Singh, complainant/applicant though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission, which was received on 01.10.2021 and diarized vide no.22339.

I have gone through the mail sent by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant has also admitted through his mail that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Rajinder Singh,
S/o Sh. Dalip Singh,
Village Uprala Badhal,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Ropar.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Ropar.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 846 of 2021

Present:- Shri Rajinder Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Anandpur Sahib, Distt. Ropar.

O R D E R

Shri Rajinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 24.12.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Anandpur Sahib, Distt. Ropar. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the PIO. The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information has been sent to him by the PIO. He further requested that the complete information be provided to him.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction to the BDPO, Anandpur Sahib, Distt. Ropar that he should call the complainant-applicant within three weeks and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: BDPO, Anandpur Sahib, Distt. Ropar. – for compliance of the Order.

Shri Amarjit Singh, S/o Sh. Gurdial Singh,
R/o Ward No.2, Guru Nanak Colony,
Lohian, Tehsil Shahkot,
Distt. Jalandhar.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SSP (Rural),
Jalandhar.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 849 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Brahmeet Singh, is present on behalf of Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant.
Shri Ravi Kumar, SPIO O/o SSP (Rural), Jalandhar is present.

ORDER

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 07.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Jalandhar. The SPIO has sent him the information comprising of 50 page vide letter no.394-RTI dated 01.06.2021. But not satisfied with the information, the complainant/applicant filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.07.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Advocate Brahmeet Singh is present on behalf of Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant and Shri Ravi Kumar, SPIO is also present.

The counsel of the applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided to the applicant.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the applicant is seeking information regarding call details, which cannot be provided to him U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005 being third party information. He further stated that the reply regarding this has already been sent to the applicant vide letter dated 26.06.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 21.10.2021, diarized vide no.23648, which is a copy of letter no.102642 dated 13.10.2021 along with a copy of letter no.394-RTI dated 01.06.2021 and a copy of letter no.513-RTI dated 26.06.2021. I have gone through the said letters.

The applicant filed RTI application on 07.05.2021 and the SPIO has sent information to the applicant vide letter dated 01.06.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction that the First Appellate Authority-cum-IGP, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar should call the complainant-applicant within 15 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 28.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: IGP, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar. – for compliance of the Order.



Shri Balwinder Singh,
S/o Sh. Nachattar Singh,
VPO Kot Gangu Rai,
Tehsil & Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO,
Ludhiana-2.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 865 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balwinder Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Jashandeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-2 is present.

O R D E R

Shri Balwinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 18.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-2. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.07.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The complainant/applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO. He further requested that the sought for information be provided to him.

The respondent stated that the information would be provided to the applicant within a few days.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction to the BDPO, Ludhiana-2 that he should call the complainant-applicant within three weeks and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: BDPO, Ludhiana-2. – for compliance of the Order.



Shri Ram Karan Singh,
S/o Sh. Chuhar Singh,
Village Dilwan, PO Rajewal,
Tehsil Samrala, Distt. Ludhiana.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Samrala,
Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 866 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ram Karan Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Navdeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o BDPO, Samrala, Distt. Ludhiana is present.

ORDER

Shri Ram Karan Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 24.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Samrala, Distt. Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.07.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the applicant on 27.10.2021. He further stated that the compliance report has been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.1794 dated 27.10.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 27.10.2021 and a duly signed receiving executed by Shri Ram Karan Singh, complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.1794 dated 27.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that Shri Navdeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary has provided the sought for information to the applicant by hand. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving executed by Shri Ram Karan Singh, complainant/applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As the respondent has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Smt. Kumari Navjot,
W/o Sh. Suresh Kumar,
Ward No. 10, Village Kala Chak,
Sujanpur, Tehsil & Distt. Pathankot.

--Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DPI (SE), Pb.,
Mohali.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 868 of 2021

Present:- Shri Suresh Kumar, Husband of Smt. Kumari Navjot, complainant/applicant is present on her behalf.

Shri Vishal Shangari, Sr. Asstt., O/o Recruitment Board, Pb., Mohali is present.

ORDER

Smt. Kumari Navjot, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 15.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Secretary, Education Deptt., Punjab. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Pb., Mohali vide letter dated 27.01.2021. The SPIO has sent reply to the applicant vide letter dated 25.02.2021. But not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the complainant/applicant filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.07.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Shri Suresh Kumar, Husband of Smt. Kumari Navjot, complainant/applicant is present on her behalf and Shri Vishal Shangari, Sr. Asstt., O/o Recruitment Board, Pb., Mohali is also present.

Shri Suresh Kumar, Husband of the applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that point-wise information/reply has been sent to the applicant vide letter dated 25.02.2021. He further stated that the information regarding point nos.7 and 8 of the RTI application, the information comprised of about 500 pages and the applicant was asked to deposit the requisite fee @ Rs.2/- per page.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO-cum-Asstt. Director, Education Recruitment Board, PB., which is a copy of letter dated 25.02.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 09.09.2021 and copy of letter dated 14.10.2021.

I have heard both the parties and gone through the letters sent by the respondent.

The applicant is directed to visit the office of the Education Recruitment Board, PB. and collect the information related with point nos. 7 and 8 of the RTI application after depositing the fee @ Rs.2/- per page.

Shri Harpreet Singh, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, Education Recruitment Board, PB. and the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Pb., Mohali both should call the complainant-applicant within

Contd..p2..

three weeks and personally hear her and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

(Regd.) CC: Director, Recruitment Board, Pb., Mohali. – for compliance of the order.

(Regd.) CC: DPI (SE), Pb., Mohali. – for compliance of the order.



Shri Davinder Sharma Bitta,
S/o Shri Sham Lal,
H.NO. 874, Street No.6,
Janakpuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2496 of 2021

Present:- Shri Davinder Sharma Bitta, appellant is absent.
ASI Tarsem Singh-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Davinder Sharma Bitta, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the appellant sought information regarding UID No.1946854 dated 25.12.2020, which is still pending, so the information cannot be provided to the appellant at this stage U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2496 dated 28.10.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the SPIO.

As the investigation is still pending regarding the information sought by the appellant, so the information cannot be provided to the appellant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence the present appeal case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the SPIO that whenever the investigation would be completed in the concerned case, the attested copies of information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days from the completion of the investigation and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Smt. Jatinder Kaur, (M: 98140-66062),
W/o Shri Balwinder Singh,
9, Kewal Vihar, Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

(Regd.) Public Information Officer,
O/o Jalandhar Improvement Trust,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
Deputy Director,
Urban Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2020

Present:- Smt. Jatinder Kaur, appellant is absent.
Shri Inderjit, Head Draftsman is present on behalf of Shri Rajesh Chaudhary,
SPIO-cum-EO, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Smt. Jatinder Kaur, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.12.2013 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Jalandhar Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO. The respondent stated that the amount of penalty of Rs.25,000/-, which was imposed upon the SPIO vide Order dated 20.07.2021 has been deposited in the Govt. Treasury vide E-challan No.1579528 dated 12.10.2021. He further stated that compliance report has been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2163 dated 18.10.2021 along with a photocopy of E-challan No.1579528 dated 12.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.2163 dated 18.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order passed by the Commission the amount of penalty of Rs.25,000/- has been deposited in the Govt. Treasury.

I have gone through the case file and a penalty of Rs.25,000/- was imposed on the SPIO vide Order dated 20.07.2021 and he was directed to deposit the amount of penalty in the Govt. Treasury.

The SPIO has complied with the Order of the Commission and deposited the amount of penalty of Rs.25,000/- in the Govt. Treasury vide E-challan No.1579528 dated 12.10.2021. The information in the present case has already been provided to the appellant. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) CC: Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

– for information.

(Regd.) CC: Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Jalandhar. – for information.

Smt. Aakansha,
H. No.2233, Sector 48-C,
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3226 of 2020

Present:- Smt. Aakanksha, appellant is present.
H.C. Rajwinder Kaur is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP, Mohali.

O R D E R

Smt. Aakanksha, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that as was directed vide Order dated 15.09.2021 the amount of compensation of Rs.4,000/- has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 09.10.2021. She further stated that regarding the information sought by the appellant FIR No.225 dated 17.09.2021 has been registered U/s 420 IPC at Police Station, Kharar and copy of the FIR has also been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.56356/G dated 09.10.2021 along with a copy of letter no.4401 dated 29.09.2021, copy of letter no.36369/G dated 09.10.2021, copy of letter no.4341 dated 23.09.2012 and a copy of FIR No.225 dated 17.09.2021. All the documents are taken in the file of the Commission.

The appellant stated that she has received the amount of compensation of Rs.4,000/- and also received the copy of FIR, but complete information has not been provided to her by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the information is ready to be provided to the appellant.

The appellant stated that she will collect the information on Monday i.e. 01.11.2021 by visiting the office of the SPIO.

So, the appellant is directed to visit the office of the SPIO on Monday i.e. 01.11.2021 and the SPIO is further directed to provide the information to the appellant and compliance be sent to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

(Regd.) CC : DSP, Kharar-1, Distt. SAS Nagar. – for information.



Shri Janga Singh,
S/o Shri Pritam Singh,
R/o Village Nawan Dalla Rajputa,
Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Ajnala,
Distt. Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 635 of 2021

Present:- Shri Janga Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Samandeep Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO,
Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.

O R D E R

Shri Janga Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 12.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the information was provided by hand to the applicant vide letter no.1747 dated 03.06.2021 and the applicant has also executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.3785 dated 25.10.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1747 dated 03.06.2021 and a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3785 dated 25.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the applicant vide letter no.1747 dated 03.06.2021. I have also gone through duly signed receiving executed by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information as per his written submission, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate,
Civil Court Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender,
Tehsil Complex, Phillaur.

.... Appellant

Versus

(Regd.)Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Safety Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Designated Food Safety Officer
Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2324 of 2020

Present:- Tejinder Singh, Advocate, (appellant) is present.
Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, SPIO-cum-Food Safety Officer, Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.07.2019 and sought information from the SPIO, O/o Food Safety Officer, Patiala regarding registration and checking of canteens in central jails and academic institutions in the district for the period 2010 to 1st July, 2019.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the amount of compensation of Rs.4,000/- has yet not been paid to him by the SPIO.

The respondent SPIO stated that the amount of compensation of Rs.4,000/- by way of demand draft no.985503 dated 22.10.2012 has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 25.10.2021.

A Show Cause Notice U/s 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 was issued to Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, SPIO-cum-Food Safety Officer, Patiala vide Order dated 26.08.2021 and she was directed to file reply to the Show Cause Notice in writing. The SPIO has sent reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter no.121 dated 27.10.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 25.10.2021.

I have gone through letter no.121 dated 27.10.2021 vide which the SPIO has sent reply to the Show Cause Notice. She has submitted that the sought for information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.08.2021. She further stated that in future she will provide the information to the information seekers within the time and requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

After going through the reply to the Show Cause Notice and after giving personal hearing to the SPIO, it is clear that she is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. So the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO-cum-Food Safety Officer, Patiala is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information and also paid the amount of compensation to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 28.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Daulat Ram,
S/o Shri Babu Ram,
Village Nalhoti Uperli,
P.O. Kahanpur Khuhi,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Nurpur Bedi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 638 of 2021

Present:- Shri Daulat Ram, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Ms. Harinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

ORDER

Shri Daulat Ram, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information related with the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving made by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information related with the office of the BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant as per the available official records and the applicant has also submitted that he has received the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Bakhshish Singh,
S/o Shri Maluk Singh,
Village Nalhoti Uperli,
P.O. Kahanpur Khuhi,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Nurpur Bedi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 639 of 2021

Present:- Shri Bakhshish Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Ms. Harinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

ORDER

Shri Bakhshish Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information related with the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving made by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information related with the office of the BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant as per the available official records and the applicant has also submitted that he has received the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Naranjan Singh,
S/o Shri Charan Singh,
Village Nalhoti Uperli,
P.O. Kahanpur Khuhi,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Nurpur Bedi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 640 of 2021

Present:- Shri Naranjan Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Ms. Harinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

ORDER

Shri Naranjan Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information related with the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving made by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information related with the office of the BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant as per the available official records and the applicant has also submitted that he has received the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jarnail Singh,
S/o Shri Maluk Singh,
Village Nalhoti Uperli,
P.O. Kahanpur Khuhi,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Nurpur Bedi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 641 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jarnail Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Ms. Harinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

ORDER

Shri Jarnail Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information related with the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving made by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information related with the office of the BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant as per the available official records and the applicant has also submitted that he has received the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Sohan Singh,
S/o Shri Mahinga Singh,
Village Nalhoti Uperli,
P.O. Kahanpur Khuhi,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Nurpur Bedi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 643 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sohan Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Ms. Harinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

ORDER

Shri Sohan Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information related with the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving made by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information related with the office of the BDPO, Nurpur Bedi.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant as per the available official records and the applicant has also submitted that he has received the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Hari Parkash Singh,
S/o Shri Rattan Singh,
Village Nalhoti Uperli,
P.O. Kahanpur Khuhi,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Nurbur Bedi.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 682 of 2021

Present:- Shri Hari Parkash Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Ms. Harinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Nurbur Bedi.

O R D E R

Shri Hari Parkash Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nurbur Bedi.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving executed by the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.3483 dated 26.10.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information related with the office of the public authority has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has executed a duly signed receiving after receiving the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receiving made by the applicant and he has submitted that he has received the information related with the office of the BDPO, Nurbur Bedi.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant as per the available official records and the applicant has also submitted that he has received the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 28.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab